MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE
ERIE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

MEETING:
July 12, 2018, at Erie County Industrial Development Agency,
95 Perry Street, 5th Floor Conference Room, Buffalo, New York

PRESENT:
Hon. April Baskin, Rev. Mark E. Blue, Hon. Johanna Coleman, Mr.
Richard Cummings, Hon. William J. Krebs, Richard Lipsitz, Jr.,
Brenda W. McDuffie, John J. Mudie, Hon. Glenn R. Nellis, Laura
Smith, David J. State and Maria Whyte

ABSENT:
Hon. Byron W. Brown and Colleen DiPirro

OTHERS PRESENT:
Steve Weathers, Chief Executive Officer; John Cappellino, Executive
Vice President; and Robert G. Murray, Secretary

GUESTS:
Andrew Federick, Erie County Senior Economic Development
Specialist and Rebecca Gandour, City of Buffalo Office of Strategic
Planning, Joel Marsh on behalf of Ebenezer Railcar Services, Inc.,
David Stebbins on behalf of 683 Northland Avenue

There being a quorum present at 8:31 a.m., Mr. Lipsitz called the meeting of the Policy
Committee to order.

MINUTES

The minutes of the June 7, 2018 Policy Committee meeting were presented. Upon
motion made by Mr. Mudie, and seconded by Mr. Krebs, the aforementioned Policy Committee
meeting minutes were unanimously approved.

PROJECT MATRIX

Mr. Cappellino reviewed the Agency’s project matrix. Mr. Lipsitz directed that the
report be received and filed.

PROJECT PRESENTATIONS

Column Development, 380 Dick Road, Cheektowaga, New York. Mr. Cappellino
presented this proposed sales tax, mortgage recording tax and real property tax abatement
benefits project involving the company’s construction of a new 45,000 sq. ft. building for lease
to L&W Supply Corporation.

Mr. Cappellino then stated that in exchange for providing the sales and use tax, mortgage
recording tax and real property tax abatement benefits, the approval of this project will be
conditioned upon adherence to certain material terms and conditions with respect to the potential modification, recapture and/or termination of financial assistance as follows:

**Draft Recapture Material Terms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Recapture Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Investment</td>
<td>At project completion</td>
<td>Investment amount equal to or greater than 85% of project amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Project Amount = $3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85% = $2,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Construction Phase and PILOT Term or 2-years after project completion</td>
<td>Maintain Base = 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Create 85% of projected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Projected = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85% = 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recapture Employment = 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Labor</td>
<td>Construction Period</td>
<td>Adherence to policy including quarterly reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay Equity</td>
<td>Coincides with 7-year PILOT</td>
<td>Adherence to policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpaid Tax</td>
<td>Coincides with 7-year PILOT</td>
<td>Adherence to policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recapture Period</td>
<td>Coincides with 7-year PILOT</td>
<td>Recapture of state and local sales tax, mortgage recording tax and real property taxes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At this point in time, Ms. Coleman joined the meeting.

Ms. Smith then spoke in favor of the project.

Mr. Nellis moved and Ms. Whyte seconded to approve of the project as proposed. Mr. Lipsitz called for the vote and the project was then unanimously approved for recommendation to members of the Agency.

**Ebenezer Railcar Services, Inc., 1001 Indian Church Road, West Seneca, New York.** Mr. Cappellino reviewed this proposed sales tax and real property tax abatement benefits project involving the construction of a new 94,000 sq. ft. facility to allow the company to improve efficiencies with respect to its manufacturing operations.

Mr. Cappellino then stated that in exchange for providing the sales and use tax and real property tax abatement benefits, the approval of this project will be conditioned upon adherence to certain material terms and conditions with respect to the potential modification, recapture and/or termination of financial assistance as follows:
Draft Recapture Material Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Recapture Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Investment</td>
<td>At project completion</td>
<td>Investment amount equal to or greater than 85% of project amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Project Amount = $6,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85% = $5,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Coincides with 7-year PILOT</td>
<td>Maintain Base = 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Create 85% of projected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Projected = 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85% = 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recapture Employment = 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Labor</td>
<td>Construction Period</td>
<td>Adherence to policy including quarterly reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay Equity/Unpaid Tax</td>
<td>Coincides with 7-year PILOT</td>
<td>Adherence to policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recapture Period</td>
<td>7-year PILOT Term</td>
<td>Recapture of state and local sales tax and real property taxes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At this point in time, Mr. Cappellino introduced the Committee to Mr. Joel Marsh, Chief Financial Officer and representative of the company.

Ms. Whyte then asked Mr. Marsh what the company would do if it was unable to obtain financial assistance from the Agency. Mr. Marsh stated that the company has an existing manufacturing facility in Colorado, however, the company desires to make this investment in New York but if this investment was not provided financial assistance, it is possible that the investment could go to its Colorado facility.

Ms. McDuffie spoke in favor of the project.

Mr. Lipsitz asked Mr. Marsh where the company finds new employees. Mr. Marsh responded that welders are very difficult to find right now so the company provides on the job training for its new employees.

Mr. State asked Mr. Cappellino if the Agency had followed its inter-municipal move policy. Mr. Cappellino responded in the affirmative noting that the Agency has sent information to and spoke with both Town of Hamburg and Town of Blasdell representatives and no objections were raised.

Ms. McDuffie then moved and Mr. Mudie seconded to approve of the project as proposed. Mr. Lipsitz called for the vote and the project was then unanimously approved for recommendation to members of the Agency.

683 Northland Avenue/BUDC, 683 Northland Avenue, Buffalo, New York. Mr. Cappellino presented this amended financial assistance application to provide funding for Phase II of the already approved 683 Northland Avenue/Buffalo Urban Development Corporation project located at 683 Northland Avenue. Mr. Cappellino reminded members that
the Agency had already approved the company’s project in May, 2017 involving the renovation of an existing and vacant 239,000 sq. ft. manufacturing facility to house the WNY Workforce Training Center (“WTC”) for Advanced Manufacturing and Electrical Utilities. The company has obtained financing to undertake the second phase of the project which will involve renovating and equipping the remaining portions of the facility not already renovated under Phase I of the project. The project amendment is needed to account for increase project costs and to allow for additional sales tax exemption benefits.

Mr. Cappellino then explained that in exchange for providing the sales and use tax exemption benefit, the approval of this project will be conditioned upon adherence to certain material terms and conditions with respect to the potential modification, recapture and/or termination of financial assistance as follows below. Mr. Cappellino confirmed that the material terms involve the same project as initially approved, however, also involve the sum total of project expenditures for both Phase I and Phase II of the project.

**Draft Recapture Material Terms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Recapture Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Investment</td>
<td>At project completion</td>
<td>Investment amount equal to or greater than 85% of project amount. Total Project Amount = $99,035,011 85% = $84,179,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>See Recapture Period</td>
<td>Create 85% of projected employment. Projected = 18 85% = 15 Recapture Employment = 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Labor</td>
<td>Construction Period</td>
<td>Adherence to policy including quarterly reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay Equity</td>
<td>See Recapture Period</td>
<td>Adherence to policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpaid Tax</td>
<td>See Recapture Period</td>
<td>Adherence to policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recapture Period</td>
<td>Coincides with 7-year PILOT term</td>
<td>Recapture of state and local sales tax and mortgage recording tax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At this point in time, Mr. Blue joined the meeting.

Mr. Cappellino again confirmed that the proposed amendment is to allow for an increase in the total sales tax exemption benefit. At this point in time, Mr. Cappellino introduced Mr. David Stebbins who spoke on behalf of the project. Mr. Stebbins then gave Committee members a review and explanation of the project as proposed.

Ms. Whyte noted that she is a member of the Buffalo Urban Development Corporation and as such, stated she would be recusing herself from participating in the discussion and voting on this matter.

Mr. Lipsitz and Ms. McDuffie then both spoke in favor of the project.
Mr. Cummings commented that the project has favorable MWBE procurement and participation. Mr. Cummings then asked if the Agency typically requires MWBE participation. Mr. Cappellino responded that MWBE requirements depend on funding sources such that if the State provides funding, MWBE goals are typically required. If the project does not have those types of funding sources, the Agency does not then establish MWBE requirements, however, the Agency does require that local labor is utilized with respect to construction.

Mr. Cummings moved and Mr. Blue seconded to approve of the project as proposed. Mr. Lipsitz called for the vote and the project was then unanimously approved, with Ms. Whyte recusing herself, for recommendation to members of the Agency.

**POLICY DISCUSSION**

Mr. Cappellino referred Committee members to the memorandum contained in their Committee package and noted that the purpose of the memorandum contained therein with respect to material term job retention requirements is to outline a policy proposal to establish a percentage threshold for job retention below the current 100% requirement as a material factor. Mr. Cappellino explained that since the material factor of 100% job retention was established in 2013, Agency staff has begun to experience incidents where companies have had compliance issues with the 100% retention requirement as a result of typical workforce turnover, including employee’s leaving unexpectedly, end of year retirements, deaths, etc. With the current requirement at 100%, the unexpected or unplanned loss of just one employee can result in a technical default of a company’s agreement with the Agency triggering recapture proceedings. Additionally, some companies are experiencing difficulties filling open positions for extended periods of time, leading them to run afoul of their IDA job retention requirement.

By way of example, Mr. Cappellino referred Committee members to correspondence received by Sodexo wherein Sodexo noted that it was required to retain 511 jobs, however, to date, Sodexo has experienced higher than normal turnover which is currently resulting in a deficit of 24 positions for which the company has 24 active postings. Mr. Cappellino noted that Sodexo is experiencing fluctuation with respect to its existing employment due to natural turnover attributed to retirement and career moves.

Mr. Cappellino then again referred members to the memorandum contained in the Committee materials and described that Agency staff is proposing a policy change that would involve a two-tiered job retention goal as follows:

1. Companies with employment at application of 150 employees or less would be required to maintain 90% of their retained employment level for the recapture period as set at project approval.

2. Companies with more than 150 employees would be required to maintain 95% of their retained employment level for the recapture period as set at project approval.

Mr. Cappellino again confirmed that the two-tiered retention concept is one that attempts to provide some flexibility to businesses with respect to retaining the number of existing jobs at
the time companies make applications to the Agency for financial assistance and is very similar to the 85% requirement the Agency requires with respect to new jobs pledged to be created.

General discussion ensued.

At this point in time, Mr. Cappellino also advised Committee members of a request by API Heat Transfer to terminate the PILOT Agreement provided to the Company by the Agency since 2015. Mr. Cappellino confirmed that API has had difficulties maintaining its job commitments, and although it has allowed real property tax exemption benefits to be recaptured for each year of shortfall, meaning, API has ended up paying full taxes, it now desires to terminate the PILOT, thus placing the property fully on the tax rolls, as it does not anticipate that it will be able to recover the jobs and headcount to bring it back into compliance with the PILOT Agreement.

Mr. Cappellino then concluded that the Agency staff recommendations are intended to provide flexibility to companies in recognition of natural turnover and as a practical matter, align job retention with job creation goals.

Ms. Whyte thanked Agency staff for their efforts and for their proposal. Ms. Whyte then moved and Mr. Nellis seconded to approve of the policy as proposed.

General discussion ensued.

At this point in time, Ms. Baskin and Mr. Nellis left the meeting.

Ms. McDuffie expressed concern that consideration for the policy change be given to distinguish between layoffs versus natural turnover. Further discussion ensued, and Ms. Whyte then made a motion to withdraw her original motion and then asked staff to revise the proposal to reflect the discussion and consideration of the Policy Committee.

Mr. Lipsitz then directed staff to continue working on and revising the proposal for additional consideration at the next Policy Committee meeting.

UPDATES/DISCUSSION


There being no further business to discuss, Mr. Lipsitz adjourned the meeting at 9:57 a.m.

Dated: July 12, 2018

Robert G. Murray, Secretary